

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/875,854	06/08/2001	Serles G. Cameron	92659pus	9362	
6431 7	2590 02/26/2004		EXAMINER		
HOFBAUER ASSOCIATES			TALBOT, BRIAN K		
SUITE 205 NORTH 1455 LAKESHORE ROAD BURLINGTON, ON L7S 2J1 CANADA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1762		
			DATE MAILED: 02/26/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application	No	Applicant(s)	\sim			
•	Application	NU.		-			
Office Action Commons	09/875,854	***	CAMERON, SERLES G.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
	Brian K Talbo		1762	ldross			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the co	over sneet with the c	orrespondence ad	iuress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, ply within the statutor d will apply and will e: te. cause the applica	however, may a reply be tin y minimum of thirty (30) day cpire SIX (6) MONTHS from tion to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this of D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. ommunication.			
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18	February 2004						
,	is action is nor						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) <u>1-36</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) <u>23-36</u> is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and Application Papers	wn from consid						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	72	lnterview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail [
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	,	Paper No(s)/Mail L 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:		ΓΟ-152)			

Art Unit: 1762

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-22, drawn to an apparatus, classified in class 118, subclass 1+.
- II. Claims 23-36, drawn to a method, classified in class 427, subclass 162+.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions II and I are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process other than forming three dimensional landmarks on compact disc such as etching a glass substrate or marking a non-compact disk say a contact lens.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. During a telephone conversation with Patrick Hofbauer on 2/18/04 a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of Group II, claims 23-36. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 1-22 have been withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Art Unit: 1762

5. Claims 23-36 remain active in the application with claims 1-22 being drawn to a non-elected invention as detailed above.

Specification

- 6. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because the abstract is directed toward an apparatus for which the claims have been non-elected. The abstract should be directed toward the claimed invention that is a method. Correction is required.
- 7. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: Reference numeral 43h.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

Art Unit: 1762

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 23-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McKenzie (6,612,789 B2).

McKenzie (6,612,789 B2) teaches a compact disk shaping apparatus and method comprising properly positioning a base compact disc onto a cutting table and then cutting the compact disc to a desired shape. The CD's are stacked on an elevator system having one side with data and the other with a label. An image mapping program determines the orientation of the CD so that it can be placed on the cutting table in the proper orientation. The robot then rotates the disk for proper orientation. After cutting the CD is removed. The complete system can perform the task on 4 CD's at one time (abstract). A CD loading and orientation system is attached to the frame (col. 2, lines 40-50) and provides a graphic label printing.

McKenzie (6,612,789 B2) fails to teach markings outside a graphical pattern.

Sabatini (5,942,165) and Rohde et al. (5,882,555) teach forming alignments marks on a disk, forming a pattern on the disk and cutting the disk to the pattern.

Therefore, it would have been obvious for one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified McKenzie (6,612,789 B2) process by incorporating alignment marks outside a pattern to be cut.

Art Unit: 1762

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian K Talbot whose telephone number is (571) 272-1428. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 6AM-3PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Shrive P Beck can be reached on (571) 272-1415. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Brian K Talbot Primary Examiner Art Unit 1762

& K Tallo

BKT